UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR 13 - 00 220 nno
Plaintiff,) v.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Scott Allen Schaffer) Defendant.	MAY 2 2013
	RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
Speedy Trial Act from Tay 2, 2013 to 3	2, 2013, the Court excludes time under the 2, 2013 and finds that the ends of justice served public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § sees this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance would be See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the proof or law, that it is unreasonable to expect	due to [check applicable reasons] the number of osecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial d by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	leny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	inreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given itments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	inreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time king into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 5/2/5	LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant Grave 11mm 5/2/13	Assistant United States Attorney